

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

Brian A. Wilkins,)	No. CV-09-1380-PHX-LOA
)	
Plaintiff,)	ORDER
)	
vs.)	
)	
Maricopa County; et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

Upon review of Defendant Maricopa County’s Motion to Compel, the Court concludes that Maricopa County’s Motion violates LRCiv 7.2(b) because it fails to provide any legal authority that it is entitled to discover all Plaintiff’s medical records it seeks or that Plaintiff is required to sign an appropriate medical authorization rather than Defendant Maricopa County obtaining the medical records it seeks by subpoena. Local Rule 7.2 (b) provides “the moving party shall serve and file with the motion's papers a memorandum setting forth the points and authorities relied upon in support of the motion.” LRCiv 7.2(b).


On the Court’s own motion,

IT IS ORDERED that Defendant Maricopa County shall have until **Friday, May 14, 2010** within which to file a Supplemental Memorandum of Law, not exceeding 5 pages in length, or its Motions to Compel will be summarily denied. Plaintiff shall have until **Tuesday, May 25, 2010** within which to file a Responsive Supplemental

1 Memorandum of Law, not exceeding 5 pages in length. A Reply is not permitted.

2 Dated this 5th day of May, 2010.

3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28


Lawrence O. Anderson
United States Magistrate Judge